	Case 2:22-cv-01283-WBS-CKD Docume	nt 14 Filed 02/22/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	NICKOLAS GORDON	No. 2:22-cv-01283-CKD P
12	KJELDERGAARD,	
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER AND
14	v. EASMON, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16	Defendants.	
17		I
18	By order filed January 12, 2023, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave	
19	to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff	
20	has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign this	
22	matter to a district judge.	
23	IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
24	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
27	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
28	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
		1
	.1	

## Case 2:22-cv-01283-WBS-CKD Document 14 Filed 02/22/23 Page 2 of 2 and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: February 22, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 12/kjel1283.fta(1)